

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

STEVEN JON WILCOX #223862,

Plaintiff,

Hon. Robert Holmes Bell

v.

Case No. 1:14-cv-00535-RHB-PJG

AMANDA MARIE TERPENING, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

On November 9, 2015, and again on December 7, 2015, the Clerk mailed a copy of the November 9, 2015, Order Regarding Service (ECF No. 33) to Plaintiff. The mailings were not deliverable because Plaintiff failed to provide the Court with an updated address (ECF Nos. 34 and 35).

As such, the undersigned hereby recommends that this matter be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) and W.D.Mich. L.Civ.R. 41.1 for want of prosecution and failure to comply with the rules and orders of this court. Local Rule 41.1 states in pertinent part, "Failure of a plaintiff to keep the Court apprised of a current address shall be grounds for dismissal for want of prosecution." Timely objections to this Report and Recommendation shall be considered Plaintiff's opportunity to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 8, 2016

/s/ Phillip J. Green  
PHILLIP J. GREEN  
United States Magistrate Judge

OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Court within ten (10) days of the date of service of this notice. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 155 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).